UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,435	01/06/2004	Lee Boldue	9494.18510	3762
26308 RYAN KROM	7590 07/12/2007 HOLZ & MANION, S.		EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226			SEVERSON, RYAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
	*			
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1				
, (Application No.	Applicant(s)			
`	10/752,435	BOLDUC ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Ryan Severson	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
,	·				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 23 and 27-30 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 23 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 April 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the correction of the original than the correction of the correc	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/9/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed 09 April 2007.

Specification

- 2. The amendment to add inadvertently omitted material pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a) filed 09 April 2007 is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.57(a) because the present application was filed before September 21, 2004, the effective date of 37 CFR 1.57(a) and the claim for priority/benefit of the prior-filed application was not present on the filing date of the present application. For further information, applicant is encouraged to consult MPEP Section 201.17.
- 3. The amendment filed 09 April 2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:
 - New Figures 12-23
 - Specification, beginning on page 8, after line 15
 - Specification, beginning on page 9, line 15

Each of these items have been added from previous application 10/271,344 and the amendment is improper and constitutes new matter, as described in paragraphs 2 and 3 above.

4. Applicant is **required to cancel the new matter** in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The addition of the method limitations in claim 23 are not supported in the specification because of the reasons described above. The support for the limitations constitutes new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3731

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 23 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parodi et al. (WO 00/16701) in view of Taheri et al. (5,591,195). Parodi et al. (hereinafter Parodi) reference discloses the method substantially as claimed (see publication).
- 10. Regarding claim 23, Parodi discloses a fastener applier (50) is used to secure a prosthesis (100) using helical fasteners (80, see figure 6). Multiple fasteners are deployed (see figure 3) to secure the prosthesis at an aneurysm in an aorta (see figure 2 and page 9, lines 18-22). Parodi further discloses the prosthetic is configured differently in the fastening region than in the main body region (see figures 3 and 10). However, Parodi does not disclose the prosthetic has a scaffold that supports the prosthetic material. Attention is drawn to Taheri et al. (hereinafter Taheri) reference, which teaches a prosthetic material can be supported by a scaffold (see figure 1) to provide greater resistance to collapse of the vessel if the vessel becomes too weakened. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the scaffold of Taheri with the prosthetic material of Parodi to provide greater resistance to collapse of the vessel if the vessel if the vessel becomes too weakened.

Art Unit: 3731

11. Regarding claim 27, the treated portion of Parodi is an aneurysm in the aorta (see page 9, lines 18-22).

- 12. Regarding claim 28, the fasteners are helical (see figure 6).
- 13. Regarding claim 29, the fasteners pierce the tissue when rotated (see figures 15 and 16).
- 14. Regarding claim 30, the fasteners are deployed in a spaced apart circumferential configuration (see figure 3).

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent 5,042,707 to Taheri also discloses the invention substantially as claimed.
- 17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/752,435

Art Unit: 3731

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan Severson whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 - 5:30.

20. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

21. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Z.S.

Ryan Severson July 9, 2007

ANHTUANT, NGUYEN UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

7/9/07